

**EAST HANOVER TOWNSHIP BOARD OF SUPERVISORS MEETING
8848 Jonestown Road, Grantville, PA 17028
October 21, 2008**

The meeting of the East Hanover Township Board of Supervisors was called to order with the pledge to the Flag by Chairman Marie Beudet at 7:02 P.M. Present were Supervisors Keith Espenshade, Dave Marshall, and Tom Shutt. Larry Spitler was absent due to illness.

Scott Wyland, Solicitor; Marty Sowers, Codes and Zoning; Charles Longreen, Director of Public Works; and Deborah Casey Secretary/Treasurer were also present.

This meeting was audio taped. The tapes are strictly for the use of the Secretary-Treasurer for clarification during preparation of the minutes.

APPROVAL OF THE MEETING MINUTES FROM OCTOBER 7, 2008

Mr. Marshall made a motion to accept the minutes as written. Mr. Espenshade seconded the motion. The motion was approved 4 in favor, 0 opposed.

Expenditures:

General Fund	\$ 38,853.38
Operations and Maintenance	\$ 35,769.15
State Fund	\$ 11,710.23
Park and Rec	<u>\$ 5,747.46</u>
Total	\$ 88,884.03

Expenditures that were not on the original list, O&M \$23,500.00 to the General Fund to repay loans that were made in 2004, and one for Park and Rec in the amount of \$273.00, prize money for the Halloween Parade. The Board also needs to vote on the KTHL bill in the amount of \$12,332.63 from the last meeting and the \$7,311.51 of the LTL bill that was not paid.

Mr. Marshall made a motion to pay the bills as listed on the updated sheet. Mr. Shutt seconded the motion. The Chairman asked for public comment, there was none. The motion was approved 4 in favor, 0 opposed.

Mr. Marshall made a motion to pay the KTHL bill and the LTL bill. He noted he has an issue with the way the work was authorized and would like to explore the procedure when Mr. Spitler returns. Mr. Espenshade seconded the motion. The Chairman asked for public comment, there was none. The motion was approved 4 in favor, 0 opposed.

Receipts

General Fund	\$ 48,719.29
Operations & Maintenance	\$ 39,233.38
Park and Rec	\$ 432.00

Available Funds

General Fund	\$ 517,113.38
Operations & Maintenance	\$ 171,282.44
Street Light	\$ 5,977.96
State Fund	\$ 407,149.25
Public Sewer Fund	\$ 3,964.48
Park and Rec Fund	<u>\$ 157,580.79</u>
TOTAL	\$1,263,068.30

SIGNIFICANT CORRESPONDENCE

The Correspondence is available for review during normal office hours.

REPORTS

PARK AND RECREATION – JANET ESPENSHADE

- The Halloween Parade will be held on October 23rd.
- The Pumpkin Carving and Hayride will be held on October 25th.
- Mrs. Espenshade noted a vendor from Lancaster would like to sell balloons at the parade.
- **Mr. Shutt made a motion to allow the balloon sale. Mr. Marshall seconded the motion. The Chairman asked for public comment, there was none. The motion was approved 4 in favor, 0 opposed.**
- Mrs. Espenshade requested use of the Special Fire Police for the closing of the roads for the Halloween Parade. The Fire Police have agreed to do this.
- **Mr. Marshall made a motion to allow the Fire Police to be used for the road closures for the parade. Mr. Espenshade seconded the motion. The Chairman asked for public comment, there was none. The motion was approved 4 in favor, 0 opposed.**

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT – CHARLES LONGREEN

- The results from the bids for the used equipment were provided to the Board. The bids were done over the internet through Municibid.

John Deere Tractor	Dale H. Brosius	\$1,560.99
Cardboard Baler	Boris Mishulovin	\$205.00
Generators	Allen Schock	\$390.00
Land Pride Mower	John Occhipinti	\$430.00
Disc Mower	Raymond Renshaw	\$356.00
- **Mr. Marshall made a motion to accept and sell and the items to the high bidders. Mr. Espenshade seconded the motion. The Chairman asked for public comment, there was none. The motion was approved 4 in favor, 0 opposed.**
- Mr. Longreen noted he sent out letters for proposals for line painting. Three were received, 2 of the proposals came back identical. The low bids were \$5,106.00. He asked which vendor should be awarded the bid. The one bid is from the vendor the Township has been using for the past several years. Solicitor Wyland noted he would provide a decision before the end of the meeting.

- Mr. Longreen asked to meet with the Board after the meeting regarding a personnel issue.

CODES AND ZONING – MARTY SOWERS

- The Board received the UCC, Zoning, Penn National, and SEO reports at the first meeting of the month.
- Chairman Beaudet asked if the work was complete at Penn National. Mr. Sowers noted the restaurant still needs to be completed. There are also some minor items being completed.
- Mr. Espenshade asked if the items being completed are under the same package as when work began. Mr. Sowers noted the restaurant and buffet were, the others were under different permits.

ENGINEERS REPORT – NORMAN ULRICH

There was no report.

EFMR MONITORING GROUP – ERIC EPSTEIN AND ANDREW STEIN

- A meeting was held with Senator Piccola and he endorsed all the grants and forwarded a letter of his support.
- Mr. Epstein met with Senator Piccola's staff and the PA State Police regarding the posting of signs on I81. Senator Piccola's staff met with PennDOT District 8 to discuss the issue. There is no problem with posting no idling signs but the signs can't be posted until there is a uniform idling law. PennDOT and the State Police both agree there is not enough area for trucks to park on I81. PennDOT suggested the Township might want to explore posting signs but only enforce them during the day. The State Police are willing to work with the Township but its problem is they want truckers parked so as to not violate the hours they are on the road.
- Mr. Espenshade asked why PennDOT does not come up with a parking area for the trucks. Mr. Epstein noted it has been acknowledged that there is not enough space for trucks to pull off and the State Police suggested that PennDOT, East Hanover, West Hanover, the State Police and the Motor Truck Association meet to discuss options. One of the options is an overflow parking area. When the idling law comes into play, it is hoped the signs will be posted. The more difficult problem is a sighting problem when the trucks come onto the highway.
- Mr. Shutt noted there are acres along the rest area that are not being used that could be used for truck parking.
- Mr. Epstein noted the area could be reconfigured to accommodate more parking. He asked if there were plans for the rest areas so that people could meet and discuss the issue. Mr. Longreen noted there are plans for I81 and the rest areas.
- Mr. Epstein commented a meeting was held with Derry Township. Discussion was held on maintaining a direct communication with the Townships regarding grants, collaborating on issues of mutual interest, Rt. 743, and bulk purchasing agreements. There is no follow up meeting scheduled.

- Rt. 743 - Mr. Longreen felt the Rt. 743 meetings will begin in the near future. The Tri-County Planning Commission had a change in leadership and it is now trying to get reorganized for this issue.
- Mr. Marshall noted at the last Board meeting, an individual brought forth a petition regarding the intersection at Rt. 743 and S. Meadow Lane. Mr. Longreen commented there is supposed to be a meeting scheduled after the election with State, County and Township representatives regarding the issue.
- Mr. Epstein noted he spoke with Mark Stewart. There is a difference in the amount of what the Township has received in funds and what the MOU agreement allows for, which the County agreed to pay. This difference was submitted with the grant package for reimbursement.
- Mr. Epstein distributed an environmental time line to the Board. October is Energy Efficient Month. Copies were also provided for the residents.
- LHEAP Funding begins on November 3rd. Mr. Epstein provided a handout showing eligibility. This can be accessed through the County's Assistance office. The program is first come first served.
- A letter was provided to the Board regarding the EFMR contract and the cost. He explained the billing and the current and past costs. This is a month by month contract. His explanation is available for review at the municipal building.
- Mr. Stein noted his contract is billed out at an hourly rate. He asked for direction from the Board as to what tasks he should be working on. The 2 he recommended was continuing with the grant process and scheduling a meeting with John McNally and the Fire Co. to start planning for next years grant cycle.
- Mr. Marshall had questions with the new law regarding Fire Companies. Chairman Beaudet noted the law requires the Township to audit the Fire Company's books before the Township can give the Fire Company any money. Mr. Stein felt the upcoming meeting would be the time to tell the Fire Co. that the grant process is contingent upon an audit. Clarification needs to be made as to who would do the audit.
- Ron Oellig noted a lot of people were surprised when they found out the \$3,000,000.00 was not coming directly to the Township but had to be applied for through grants. He was concerned about how much was spent writing the grants.
- Chairman Beaudet noted the money was spent not only for writing the grants, but also for base lining the Township, capital projects, etc. This will enable the Township to track any changes on the infrastructure and attribute it to the track. The \$3,000,000.00 will never directly come to the Township; it will come through grants.
- Mr. Oellig noted he also opposed a Township Manager, but felt that perhaps a Manager might now be needed to write the grants, etc. Chairman Beaudet noted a lot of the preliminary work has been done and the grants will be easier to write in the future. Most of the money spent will be paid back through the grants. When you consider a Manager, you need to decide what areas you want that person to focus on, and would it be cheaper to have someone else do the things such as grants. There was not a lot of time to prepare the grants this year.

- Mr. Oellig felt the bills are getting out of hand. If there was the proper person in charge, then you would probably not have to have all the other people involved. Chairman Beaudet noted a lot of the costs were a one time issue, i.e. the SRBC, base lining the Township, the MOU negotiation. A Manager can probably take up some of the future items, but the Township will always need a negotiator.
- Mr. Stein noted this is the only year that there were engineering studies, legal fees, etc. The future grants will be for hard infrastructure projects. You can't get around the engineering studies.
- Rosemarie Devers felt the consultants resumes should be put in one of the newsletters; then the residents might understand why they are highly paid.
- **Mr. Espenshade made a motion to allow Mr. Stein to continue with the grant process and work with the Fire Co. on a grant for next year. Mr. Shutt seconded the motion. The Chairman asked for public comment, there was none. The motion was approved 4 in favor, 0 opposed.**
- Mr. Stein noted there was a slight modification to his contract, regarding the number of Supervisors (from 2 to 3) needed to provide direction to him. He will provide the Township with a new copy of the contract for signature.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS

STORMWATER MANAGEMENT - THOMPSON

This is with the Solicitor.

CLEAN STREET ORDINANCE

No report.

WINFINDALE PROPERTY

This is with the Solicitor.

CHANGES TO SALDO – LTL CONSULTANTS

No report.

JUNK YARD ORDINANCE

- It was noted Dave Smith had some questions. Solicitor Wyland will review Mr. Smith's comments and report back to the Board.

PROPERTY ON EARLYS MILL ROAD AND RT. 743

- Mr. Longreen noted the ad for the RFP has been prepared. There are 2 items he needs approval for before advertising. The first is for the asbestosis study.
- A quote was received from Conservative Environmental Services regarding the sampling and analysis of the asbestosis. The cost is \$70.00 per hour, sampling analysis, \$35.00 per sample, and the report of the finding and analysis \$350.00. Mr. Longreen noted the company indicated the average price of doing a property like this is between \$750.00 and \$1,500.00. It was felt the sampling would not have to be done since it is already known there is asbestosis in the shingles.

- **Mr. Marshall made a motion to follow through with the project with Conservative Environmental Services with a not to exceed cost of \$1,500.00. Mr. Shutt seconded the motion.** Mr. Espenshade asked how you can put a not to exceed when the work is being charged at an hourly rate. Mr. Marshall noted he based his motion on what Mr. Longreen reported. He was also not comfortable with the proposal since there was not a bottom line cost which is why he put the not to exceed in his motion. **The Chairman asked for public comment, there was none. The motion was approved 4 in favor, 0 opposed.**
- Mr. Longreen asked how fast the Board wanted to move forward with the demolition. Mr. Marshall noted since the sight distance has been improved, he is not in any hurry to proceed with the demolition.
- Discussion was held on the economy and how it relates to the property.
- Mr. Espenshade thought the process was the Township was going to try to have a salvage effort for the property before demolition. Mr. Longreen noted no one has decided as to who is going to salvage what. The Township has already salvaged what it could. Mr. Espenshade thought a RFP was going to be put out for the salvage. Mr. Longreen noted he would prepare the ad for the RFP.
- Solicitor Wyland noted the Susquehanna Area Regional Airport Authority recently let a contract to demolish an old industrial building on or near the airport site. It asked for a proposal to come in, salvage what was salvageable and then demolish the building and remove the debris. They actually received a negative bid since someone thought there was more value in the salvage than it would cost to take it down. He suggested the service be characterized as salvage and demolition so that you can get a net price.
- Mr. Espenshade asked about the electric meter on the property. Mr. Longreen noted the electricity has been disconnected. There is no current going into the house. Met-Ed was notified to come and cut the lines but they are understaffed at this time.
- Mr. Epstein asked if the Township would be liable if someone went onto the property and got hurt. Solicitor Wyland noted the Township is insured and there are limitations on liability. The building is secure and someone is there inspecting it on a regular basis.
- David Craig suggested the Solicitor provide information about the proper removal of asbestosis. Solicitor Wyland noted usually the engineer is worked with for the demolition specifications which would include the proper permits and disposal.

ACT 209 – LAND USE ASSUMPTION REPORT (LUAR)

No report

COMPREHENSIVE PLAN

This has been put in the budget for 2009.

- Chairman Beaudet commented the question was asked as to whether or not the Township needed a new Comp Plan. When a Casino is now in your Township, which was not anticipated, and there is an Act 209 Committee, you don't want a Comprehensive Plan like the LDARPG plan. The LDARPG plan has been

questioned as to whether or not it is a good plan for the Township. This leaves the Township exposed. The Township has applied for a grant for the Comp Plan and it should be done. It was noted that the Township was not guaranteed the grant.

- Chairman Beaudet made a motion to go ahead with the Comprehensive Plan as proposed by LTL. Mr. Shutt seconded the motion.** Mr. Espenshade asked if it was imperative the plan starts immediately. Chairman Beaudet noted the Planning Commission will be working on the plan with the guidance of LTL. Mr. Espenshade asked if the Planning Commission will be doing most of the tasks with the initial start of the project. Chairman Beaudet noted they will do what they can until they have to contact the engineers. The Planning Commission wants to do this process at public meetings. Mr. Stein noted the grants were written with the Comp Plan as an item. There was a submission for \$70,000.00 for a Comp Plan. There will be a portion of the costs the Township will be liable for. The LDARP plan makes the Township vulnerable since it is a regional plan. The Township does not, at this time, have all permissible uses with its comp plan. Mr. Espenshade noted his issue was not starting the comp plan but incurring the costs at this time. **Chairman Beaudet amended her motion contingent upon billing from LTL does not start until January, 2009.** Discussion was held on when the work would start. David Craig felt the work would probably not start until November and then the holidays begin. He did not feel there would be a lot of progress before the end of year. Mr. Marshall did not want to have bills deferred and would prefer the work did not start until December since the bill would not come through until January. **Mr. Shutt seconded the amended motion. The Chairman asked for public comment.** Richard Schock asked what was not provided for in the LDARPG Comp Plan that is needed now. He had asked for a report and it has not yet been received. He suggested it be tabled for a while until the grant money is received. Chairman Beaudet noted the Township budgeted the money without the grants to comply with the Act 209 and other issues the County has asked the Township to do. Solicitor Wyland noted the MPC requires the Comprehensive Plan to be revisited on a periodic basis. The LDARPG plan is a multi-municipal plan and there were withdrawals from the plan by some of the members. With this type of plan, it allocates the different uses within the participating municipalities and when it breaks down, it leaves the municipalities with an incomplete comp plan. He did not feel it was wise, or in the best interest of the Township, to produce a report that points out the deficiencies of the plan. The idea is to revisit the plan and in the process you improve what is already there. **The motion was approved 4 in favor, 0 opposed.**

GINGRICH/JONES SUBDIVISION PLAN

The purpose of the plan is to combine lots 4, 5, 6, and 7 and lot addition B and to combine the remaining lands (lot addition A) with lands of Steven N. Gingrich. Use for both lots shall be for single family residential. Before any improvements are built, a septic design and a building permit must be submitted to, and approved by East Hanover Township and other applicable agencies.

- Waivers – Sections 403.3 and 402.3.4 Existing Features 200 feet beyond the side.
- Signatures and notarizations have been obtained on the plan. All engineering fees and the Park and Rec Subdivision fee have been paid.
- **Mr. Espenshade made a motion to approve the waivers of Section 403.3 and Section 402.3.4. Mr. Marshall seconded the motion. The motion was approved 4 in favor, 0 opposed.**
- **Mr. Espenshade made a motion to approve the subdivision plan. Mr. Marshall seconded the motion. The Chairman asked for public comment, there was none. The motion was approved 4 in favor, 0 opposed.**

ROYER SUBDIVISION PLAN

John Madden represented the plan - This is a Preliminary/final 2 lot minor subdivision located at the corner of Stirrup and Red Fox Lanes, Harrisburg (Fairfield Acres)

- Waivers
 - Section 403.1 and 402.1.1 - Plan drawn at scale
 - Section 403.3 and 402.3.1 – Existing features along Manada Creek
 - Section 403.3 and 402.3.4 – Existing features within 200 feet of property
 - Section 403.6.9 – Recorder of Deeds Box
 - Section 618.3 – Street Trees
- Outstanding items – contingencies
 - Signatures and Notarizations
 - Payment of Engineering Fees
 - Payment of Park and Rec Subdivision Fee
- **Mr. Espenshade made a motion to approve the waivers as read. Mr. Shutt seconded the motion.** Solicitor Wyland asked if verification of the monuments have been provided. Mr. Madden noted the monuments have been set and the engineer inspected the property. **The motion was approved 4 in favor, 0 opposed.**
- **Mr. Espenshade made a motion to approve the plan contingent upon the outstanding items. Mr. Marshall seconded the motion. The Chairman asked for public comment, there was none. The motion was approved 4 in favor, 0 opposed.**

PROPOSALS FOR LINE PAINTING

Solicitor Wyland noted there is nothing in the 2nd Class Township Codes that addresses 2 identical lower bids but the bid does need to be awarded at the meeting where the results were announced. The best course of action is to select one of the two low bidders. Mr. Longreen presented the bids.

Interstate Road Management	\$5,106.00
Alpha Space Control	\$5,106.00
Traffic Lines Incorporated	\$9,522.00

Mr. Longreen noted Interstate Road Management has been used for the past several years and he has been happy with the work. Alpha Space Control was used in the past and he was not pleased with their work. He recommended using Interstate Road Management.

- **Mr. Marshall made a motion to award the line painting bid to Interstate Road Management Corporation. Mr. Espenshade seconded the motion. The Chairman asked for public comment, there was none. The motion was approved 4 in favor, 0 opposed.**

Solicitor Wyland noted an appeal was filed on the decision made by the Board of supervisors to rezone 2 tracts of land at the western end of the Township. Two appeals were filed, one with the Zoning Hearing Board which would have been procedurally incorrect. It is Solicitor Wyland's understanding there was correspondence between the solicitor for the Zoning Hearing Board and the appellants and the intention on the part of the appellants that the appeal before the Zoning Hearing Board be withdrawn and there was a refund of the fee to the appellants. Solicitor Wyland will confirm with Solicitor Wass that the Zoning Hearing feels comfortable that it documented the withdrawal of the appeal adequately. The same appellants, and possibly more, filed an appeal on the last day in the Court of Common Pleas. The Township will have to defend its action and steps have been taken to protect the Township's interest. Solicitor Wyland asked for authorization to defend the Township in the appeal that was filed with the Court of Common Pleas and to make sure the withdrawal of the Zoning Hearing Board has been documented properly.

- **Mr. Marshall made a motion to authorize Solicitor Wyland and his company to defend the appeal that is before the Court of Common Pleas. Mr. Shutt seconded the motion. The Chairman asked for public comment, there was none. The motion was approved 4 in favor, 0 opposed.**

NEW BUSINESS

BRIAN CRISAMORE – USE OF BUILDING FOR FUND RAISER – 1 DAY LICENSE FOR SELLING PULL TICKETS

Mr. Crisamore has scheduled the building for November 16th and would like to purchase a 1 day license to sell pull off tickets for a Pampered Chef Bingo. This is a fund raiser for a Lower Dauphin Soccer Association Under 11 Premiere Team which is raising funds for upcoming tournaments.

- Mr. Crisamore noted he was seeking permission from the Board to move forward with the 1 day license which he would purchase so he could rent the building for the fundraiser.
- Solicitor Wyland noted any motion should include that the applicant be responsible for following applicable law for the license.
- **Mr. Espenshade made a motion to allow the Lower Dauphin Soccer Association to use the building for their fundraiser which would include a 1-day license for small games of chance with proof that they have the license.** Mr. Marshall asked that the motion be amended to include that Mr. Crisamore take responsibility for any other things that would be involved with getting the license and any other permits that might be required. **Mr. Espenshade amended his motion to include that Mr. Crisamore be responsible for any other issues or permits that would be involved with obtaining the license. Mr. Marshall seconded the motion. The Chairman**

asked for public comment, there was none. The motion was approved 4 in favor, 0 opposed.

DIRECTION TO PLANNING COMMISSION ON USE OF PROFESSIONALS

Chairman Beudet noted David Craig, Chairman of the Planning Commission is to call Solicitor Wyland if he feels a solicitor is needed at the meetings.

- Mr. Marshall felt that Mr. Spitler wanted to discuss this, but since he is not at the meeting, he suggested the procedure should be left the way it is until Mr. Spitler is present to discuss a more formal procedure.
- David Craig noted the Engineer and Zoning Officer would be present at meetings, and if the applicant would come with an attorney, the Township's Solicitor would be present.
- It was noted if a new plan was before the Planning Commission and an attorney was present, the Township's solicitor would not be needed since the Planning Commission would only be accepting the plan for review.
- Solicitor Wyland noted if Mr. Craig felt a solicitor was needed at a Planning Commission meeting, he should contact him to discuss whether or not he was needed at the meeting.
- Mr. Marshall noted he was comfortable with Mr. Craig and Solicitor Wyland working together.

ROSSI – RELEASE OF LETTER OF CREDIT

- **Mr. Marshall made a motion to release the letter of credit in the amount of \$4,378.00. Mr. Espenshade seconded the motion. The Chairman asked for public comment, there was none. The motion was approved 4 in favor, 0 opposed.**

USE OF SPECIAL FIRE POLICE

- **Mr. Espenshade made a motion to allow the Special Fire Police to help Paxtang Borough with its Halloween Parade if they have the time and wish to do it. Mr. Marshall seconded the motion. The Chairman asked for public comment, there was none. The motion was approved 4 in favor, 0 opposed.**

OTHER BUSINESS FROM THE BOARD

There was no other business from the Board.

BUSINESS FROM THE PUBLIC

Chuck Mundy of 211 Tannenbaum Way, Palmyra, asked about DEP's decision to reject the Boards recommendation of an alternative method in handling the alleged problem sewage areas of Englewood and Partridge Hills. He felt the minutes of the October 7th Board meeting were not accurate. He referred to Page 15 of the minutes. What he said was the Board would appeal the decision and the minutes reflect the Board could appeal the decision. In the June 3rd minutes, it stated where the Township will take its and residents argument to appeal.

- Solicitor Wyland noted he reviewed the context in which the statement was listed and he recalls, and the minutes reflect it, that he was engaging in a hypothetical appeal on what DEP will argue against the Township. It was not his understanding that before the letter was issued, a decision was made to authorize and pursue an appeal. The communication from DEP simply disapproved what was submitted by the Township. Any appeal from that sort of a message from DEP is not worthwhile.
- Mr. Mundy discussed what the residents assumed from the Solicitors comment. Chairman Beaudet noted the residents cannot assume anything and the Solicitor cannot do anything unless the Board approves his actions.
- Mr. Mundy noted the DEP rejection letter was received by the Township on September 16th. It was not posted on the website or listed in the significant correspondence until October 14th leaving only 2 days to appeal the decision.
- Solicitor Wyland noted his job is to advise the Township and he did not recommend the letter be appealed. The residents can appeal the decision if they want and they would have to get a lawyer. If he would have gone to a lawyer, he probably would have told him that an appeal by a resident that is not mandated by DEP to receive the letter would have to be filed within 30 days when the resident knew of the communication.
- Mr. Mundy felt the Board should have let the residents know about the letter.
- Chairman Beaudet noted at the next meeting after the letter was received, she announced the letter which was the October 7th meeting.

George Rish commented on the Zoning Hearing Board appointment. He noted that the appointed person needs to submit a Financial Disclosure Form and the individual needs to be appointed by Resolution. Mrs. Casey noted she sent the form to the individual for completion.

Steve Bachman of Stoneford Lane noted he had copies of the recorded plans for the Preserve at Bow Creek. The plans indicate to him that the townhomes located behind his property are in the wrong location.

- Mr. Sowers felt the location of the townhomes would have to be verified by a survey. The zoning permit goes off of the drawings that are submitted.
- Mr. Bachman noted he had the plans from phase 1 which is where his property is located. The other phases of the plan do not apply to him.
- Mr. Marshall felt the plans were changed with the other phases.
- Mr. Bachman noted there were no changes to Phase 1 according to the Tri-County Planning Commission.
- Chairman Beaudet noted the Township cannot do anything unless there is proof that a home is in the wrong place.
- Discussion continued on the subject. It was noted that Mr. Bachman could come into the Municipal Building to review the plans.

Mr. Bachman asked if there are any regulations that require weeds to be cut on common ground within properties.

- Mr. Sowers believed the Township adopted the 1996 Property Maintenance Code. He will check what the weed height is.
- Solicitor Wyland asked if the Home Owners Association maintains the property. Mr. Bachman noted there is a homeowners Association for the single family dwellings, but did not know if there was one for the town homes. A contractor cuts the grass and plows the roads.
- Mr. Sowers will look into the weed height.

Willis Geesaman asked what the situation is with the disbursement is of EDU's.

- Solicitor Wyland noted he spoke with Chris Hannum of LTL to discuss the protocol of the disbursement of the available EDU's to various applicants. He felt there was a document available for Board action.
- Mr. Geesman asked if there is a list where an individual could see where they were on the list.
- It was noted the list would be prioritized with the Act 537 plan.

Chairman Beaudet noted there will be an executive session held after the meeting to discuss personnel issues.

Mr. Espenshade made a motion to adjourn the meeting. The meeting was adjourned at 8:58 PM.

Respectfully submitted,

Deborah A. Casey

cc: Marie Beaudet
David Marshall
Keith Espenshade
Larry Spittler
Thomas Shutt
Hawke, McKeon & Sniscak
Curt Cassel

Charles Longreen
Planning Commission
Light-Heigel & Associates
Posted
Zoning Hearing Board
LTL Consultants