

**EAST HANOVER TOWNSHIP BOARD OF SUPERVISORS MEETING
8848 Jonestown Road, Grantville, PA 17028
June 17, 2008**

The meeting of the East Hanover Township Board of Supervisors was called to order with the pledge to the Flag by Chairman Marie Beudet at 7:00 P.M. Present were Supervisors Dave Marshall, Tom Shutt, and Larry Spitler. Keith Espenshade was absent

Katherine Lovette, Solicitor; Norman Ulrich, Engineer; Leo Scott, LTL Consultants; David Smith, Codes and Zoning; Charles Longreen, Director of Public Works; and Deborah Casey Secretary/Treasurer were also present.

This meeting was audio taped. The tapes are strictly for the use of the Secretary-Treasurer for clarification during preparation of the minutes.

A PUBLIC HEARING WILL BE HELD AT 7:30 PM FOR ORDINANCE 2008-02.

THE ROAD MATERIAL BIDS WILL BE OPENED IMMEDIATELY AFTER THE PUBLIC HEARING.

APPROVAL OF THE MEETING MINUTES FROM JUNE 3, 2008

Mr. Spitler made a motion to accept the minutes as written. Mr. Marshall seconded the motion. The motion was approved 4 in favor, 0 opposed.

Expenditures:

General Fund	\$ 46,443.04
Operations and Maintenance	\$ 8,084.00
Park and Rec	<u>\$ 1,147.06</u>
Total	\$ 55,674.10

Mr. Marshall made a motion to pay the bills. Mr. Shutt seconded the motion. The motion was approved 4 in favor, 0 opposed.

Receipts

General Fund	\$ 270,407.22
Operations & Maintenance	\$ 27,764.00
Park and Rec	\$ 1,137.00

Available Funds

General Fund	\$ 830,003.00
Operations & Maintenance	\$ 182,327.92
Street Light	\$ 6,665.51
State Fund	\$ 467,727.99
Public Sewer Fund	\$ 3,946.40

Park and Rec Fund	\$ 174,101.93
TOTAL	<u>\$1,664,772.75</u>

SIGNIFICANT CORRESPONDENCE

The Correspondence is available for review during normal office hours.

REPORTS

PLANNING COMMISSION – DAVID CRAIG

No report.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT – CHARLES LONGREEN

- Mr. Longreen asked for permission to attend a workshop on July 29th on Innovative Bridge Design for Pennsylvania Communities. It is at Shippensburg and the topic being discussed is timber bridges. There is no cost for the workshop.
- **Mr. Marshall made a motion to allow Mr. Longreen to go to the timber bridge workshop. Mr. Spitler seconded the motion.** Mr. Marshall asked if the workshop was on solid or laminate timber bridges. Mr. Longreen thought they would cover all, but laminated bridges will definitely be covered. The course will give him alternatives to concrete and steel bridges. PennDOT is starting to approve the use of timber bridges again. **The motion was approved 4 in favor, 0 opposed.**
- Chairman Beaudet noted a resident contacted her regarding Caren Drive and was pleased with it.
- Mr. Marshall commented at the last meeting the rubber tire roller was discussed. Mr. Longreen noted he received the specs and is ready to draw up the documentation and advertise. He commented there is another alternative. If the Township does not want to purchase the equipment outright, it can lease to purchase.
- Mr. Shutt asked if Mr. Longreen had contacted the Winfindales. Mr. Longreen noted he called the Winfindales and they would not meet with him. Mrs. Winfindale wanted to discuss it over the phone. The discussion was held and Mrs. Winfindale noted she would talk to her husband about it and get back to Mr. Longreen. He has not yet heard from them.

CODES AND ZONING – DAVID SMITH

Mr. Smith noted on June 3rd the UCC, Zoning, SEO and Penn National reports were provided to the Board for the month of May.

- Chairman Beaudet asked about the problems with the parking garage at Penn National. Mr. Smith was not aware of anything since he is not the UCC inspector.
- Mr. Spitler noted he did a drive through and walk-through of the area and spoke with Gary Luderitz by phone afterwards. The issue is the contractor making the job right and there is not a safety issue.
- Mr. Smith will verify with the UCC inspector regarding the issue.

ENGINEERS REPORT – NORMAN ULRICH

- On June 9th a site meeting was held with the contractor and developer for the Preserve at Bow Creek to review some site issues and inconsistencies with the plan. They will be reporting back to LTL regarding the issues.
- Funck's EXXON is finishing up its construction.
- Triple Diamond – There are still issues with mud on Kelly Court. The contractor has been spoken to and he indicated there is someone in there every Friday to clean the street. The plans indicate the roads should be kept mud free and passable. DCCD has been contacted regarding the issue.
- Penn National Gaming – The Gaming portion of the plan is near completion. There are some issues that need to be resolved.
- Mr. Marshall noted Triple Diamond appears to be using the Township's street as part of the construction site. There is a lot of construction equipment parked on the street all the time and the equipment is running back and forth across the street. He felt something should be in place to ensure the Township roads are not part of the construction site. Mr. Ulrich noted the vehicles are not permitted to be parked in the Township right-of-way over night. When a plan is approved, there should be measures in place on the plan which indicates there is an internal drive to access the hotel. There is nothing on the Triple Diamond plan that shows this. Without it on the plan, it is difficult to enforce. Even though the street is cleaned on Friday, there is still a problem with the mud being left on the road during the week. Once the Clean Street Ordinance is in place, there will be penalties involved if the ordinance is not followed. At the end of the project, if there is any damage to the road as a result of the construction vehicles, the property owner will be responsible for the repairs.
- George Rish commented at previous meetings there was talk about the 400,000 gallon capacity at the treatment plant. He asked if there is any written documentation from DEP that indicates the actual capacity is 400,000 GPD. Mr. Ulrich did not know if it has been quantified in letter form at this point. There was research done on the previous Chapter 94's and that is what revealed there is additional capacity at the plant. Mr. Ulrich will check on the additional capacity.
- Mr. Rish commented the parameters of the permit are based on the discharge of 250,000 GPD. Would the discharge parameters be different if the capacity is 400,000 GPD. Mr. Ulrich noted Mr. Hannum would be able to answer Mr. Rish's questions and he will have him contact Mr. Rish. Mr. Ulrich felt the capacity deals with organic and inorganic and values that are placed on each. There were mistakes made on the previous Chapter 94's and that is where the additional capacity came from.

EFMR – ANDY STEIN

- On June 2nd Mr. Stein attended a meeting with the Tri-County Planning Commission regarding the Transportation Improvement Program. This is done in 5 year cycles and it was the 2009 to 2014 cycle. Five projects were identified in East Hanover Township. Details will be provided and Mr. Stein will forward it to the Township Engineer. The first project will be in 2009 and it is the bridge

on Allentown Blvd. (Rt. 22) over the Manada Creek. It was noted the bridge is a State bridge. Tri-County was helpful in providing guidance. It recommended using State funded programs vs. Federal funding programs because of prevailing wage and the Federal requirements.

- Mr. Stein provided the Board with the draft document of the Needs Assessment. He thanked Solicitor Wyland, Mr. Ulrich, Mr. Hannum, and Mr. Latsha of LTL for all their help with the assessment.
- Mr. Stein noted the Needs Assessment is a master document to guide the Township through a top to bottom review as it meets the changes that are occurring in the Township, and to find out what the impact is from the Casino. When the document is complete, it should be a to do list for a new comprehensive plan, a guide for using funds that may be available from the MOU, should be a living document that is updated annually to ensure progress is being made, and a means for getting public input to help prioritize the projects. Some of the projects contained in the document are the Zoning Ordinance, road and drainage studies, the beginning of a creation of a GIS, and the adoption of a capital improvement plan. Many municipalities do a 5 year capital improvement plan so that projects are on the queue and everyone knows the prioritization for the project. It gives the Township lead time to apply for the appropriate funding and allows it to plan and manage its financials tightly. The draft needs to be fully developed in the near future.
- Chairman Beaudet noted this would be discussed at the Board of Supervisors Workshop on June 30th. Mr. Stein felt Mr. Ulrich and Solicitor Wyland should be at the workshop.
- Mr. Spitler asked if the draft has a 5 year projection. Mr. Stein noted the draft has not been prioritized but felt there are probably 5 years of projects in the draft. It is only being suggested the needs assessment be reviewed annually. Mr. Spitler felt it needs to be reviewed annually to look at long term needs.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS

STORMWATER MANAGEMENT - THOMPSON

This is with the Solicitor.

VILLA ROSA/ NO LEFT TURN

No report.

VERIZON – CONSTRUCTION ISSUES

- David Boyd commented the attorney for Verizon contacted him. There has been no action on his property. The engineer is trying to get someone who will work with digging and pumping while the property is being worked on. The attorney has indicated that she is going to the PUC to ask for another extension. She is hoping by the end of the month a certificate of approval will be received from Mr. Boyd regarding his issue. He indicated the issue has not been concluded.

E. CAREN DRIVE - PAVING

Mr. Longreen noted the paving has been complete with the exception of the turnaround which can't be done until GPU removes guide wires.

- Mr. Marshall asked how long ago GPU was contacted. Mr. Longreen felt it was around 3 or 4 years. GPU is having problems with the church giving it the right-of-way to put the pole in to string the guide wire. Mr. Marshall felt if it is not resolved soon, it should be turned over to the solicitor.

PUBLIC HEARING ORDINANCE 2008-02 – STREET OPENING

Chairman Beudet thanked the individuals who helped with the ordinance and turned the meeting over to Solicitor Lovette.

- Solicitor Katherine Lovette noted it was the time and place for the Public Hearing on Ordinance 2008-02. It is 7:31 PM. The ordinance has been discussed at prior meetings. Solicitor Lovette asked for public comments.
- George Rish asked if the ordinance affected driveway permits. His interpretation of the ordinance indicates it does.
- Mr. Spitler noted it does not affect the driveway permit.
- Mr. Rish commented the ordinance indicates drive or driveway or other means of ingress or egress are affected and felt that it affects driveways. There is currently a driveway permit and his assumption is the ordinance will supersede any previous driveway permit mechanism.
- Mr. Spitler noted the ordinance is strictly for street openings for utilities.
- Mr. Rish commented the one sentence in the ordinance “nor shall any drain, culvert, footpath, drive or driveway or other means of ingress or egress be graded, constructed, installed or erected upon or in; nor shall any telephones, etc. upon any portion of a road or within any road right-of-way located in the Township”. Typically driveways cross the right-of-way to connect to the paved road. This is in Section 2.
- Mr. Ulrich’s interpretation is the ordinance is talking about a utility crossing a driveway, not the installation of a driveway.
- Solicitor Lovette noted the ordinance would be looked at, but if it does include driveways, what would Mr. Rish’s concern be.
- Mr. Rish noted his concern would be the homeowner or contractor having to have the \$1,000,000.00 insurance policy and would the homeowner have to put up a security deposit for 2 years to guarantee the driveway is done appropriately, Section 29 Security Deposit, and Section 33, Insurance. His concern is if the ordinance supersedes the previous driveway permit, then there are the other factors. He asked what the current driveway permit fee was. Mr. Longreen noted it was \$25.00. Mr. Rish noted the ordinance references PennDOT’s fee schedule. Mr. Longreen commented the Township’s uses PennDOT’s fees. Mr. Rish’s concern was for the construction of single homes.
- Solicitor Lovette noted the language can be reviewed and if it applies to individual homeowners, it can be revisited with the Board.
- Mr. Spitler noted the ordinance does not relate to the individual homeowner, but to a utility that is coming into the Township. This has nothing to do with driveway permitting which is still with Mr. Longreen.

- Mr. Rish commented he understood the intention, but the ordinance taken literally talks about driveways.
- Mr. Longreen noted there is a separate ordinance for driveways and it is not included in the new ordinance.
- Mr. Rish commented there is a repealer at the end of the proposed ordinance that could repeal the existing ordinance. He felt it was a matter of interpretation. When he reads the ordinance, he felt it could include driveways.
- It was noted that the language will be reviewed.
- Mirek Kavorik felt the ordinance clearly governs only construction in the right-of-way and doesn't touch on building a driveway. It is clear, from a legal stand point that Section 2 only deals with Township property and the Township's right-of-way.
- David Boyd of Pineview Drive, thanked everyone who put together the ordinance. A portion was added to Section 9 that he hopes will get the message across to the utilities. He commented there is one point in Section 9 that he does know if the wording is exactly what the Township wants. The 4th sentence down there is an "of" and he felt it could possibly mean "or". Solicitor Lovette felt it was a typo, but would review the sentence.
- Mr. Boyd felt the ordinance would cover the problems that were created for him and would resolve a lot of the problems.
- Mr. Marshall commented a million dollars worth of insurance today is low. He discussed his business and removing equipment and how much it costs for insurance. The insurance is to make sure the job is done right.
- Mr. Rish felt there are some people in the Township that would put in their own driveway and his question is would they be required to provide the insurance. Mr. Marshall felt they would be required to provide the insurance and the security deposit. Mr. Rish asked if Mr. Marshall's interpretation of the ordinance was that it does affect the individual home owner. Mr. Marshall felt the ordinance applies to utilities, but if an individual homeowner would be putting a utility through the right-of-way into their house, he felt it would apply to them.
- Mr. Rish felt the ordinance should be written for non-attorneys to understand it. If the legal opinion is it does not affect driveways that is one thing, but he felt it should be written in plain English. He is just concerned about an individual homeowner putting a driveway in.
- Mr. Ulrich commented as far as writing an ordinance a layperson can understand, the important thing is there is an ordinance in place that protects the Township and residents. If there is ambiguity, the solicitor will address it. It is not the Township's intention for the ordinance to affect a resident's driveway. That is why there is a driveway ordinance. If the Township feels the driveway ordinance is lacking, then they may instruct their professionals to update that ordinance as well. He felt when the driveway is talked about in the ordinance it relates to utilities crossing the driveway and what its responsibilities are regarding insurance or construction standards to correctly fix the driveway. It is not the intention of the ordinance to affect the residents with driveways.
- There were no further public comments.

- Solicitor Lovette closed the public hearing at 7:44 PM.
- Chairman Beaudet asked the Board if a vote should be taken.
- Mr. Spitler noted he would like to make sure the ordinance is correct.
- It was noted the ordinance would be reviewed to address the concerns.
- **Mr. Spitler made a motion to continue the hearing until July 1, 2008. Mr. Shutt seconded the motion. The Chairman asked for public comment, there was none. The motion was approved 4 in favor, 0 opposed.**

ROAD MATERIAL BID OPENING

Hammaker East

CRS-2 Emulsion (E3)	80,000 Gal	\$2.143 per gallon
---------------------	------------	--------------------

Richland Partners

87 Octane Unleaded gasoline	5,000 gal	\$3.6228 per gallon
-----------------------------	-----------	---------------------

Ultra Low Sulfur diesel	8,000 gal	\$4.2515 per gallon
-------------------------	-----------	---------------------

Kerosene	500 gal	\$4.4670 per gallon
----------	---------	---------------------

Handwerk

#3 Clean Limestone	600 tons	\$11.68 per ton
--------------------	----------	-----------------

Hempt Brothers – PennDOT specs

#8 Washed Limestone	2,000 Tons	\$13.25 per ton
---------------------	------------	-----------------

#57 Washed Limestone	4,000 Tons	\$13.95 per ton
----------------------	------------	-----------------

#3 Clean Limestone	600 Tons	\$12.95 per ton
--------------------	----------	-----------------

PA 2A subbase	500 Tons	\$ 9.75 per ton
---------------	----------	-----------------

Superpave Wearing 9.5MM	100 Tons	\$60.70 per ton
-------------------------	----------	-----------------

Pennsy Supply

#8 Washed Limestone	2,000 Tons	\$11.88 per ton
---------------------	------------	-----------------

#57 Washed Limestone	4,000 Tons	\$10.98 per ton
----------------------	------------	-----------------

#3 Clean Limestone	600 Tons	\$10.83 per ton
--------------------	----------	-----------------

PA 2A subbase	500 Tons	\$ 9.18 per ton
---------------	----------	-----------------

Superpave Wearing 9.5MM	100 Tons	\$65.00 per ton
-------------------------	----------	-----------------

CLEAN STREET ORDINANCE

Mr. Ulrich noted a draft version will be provided to the Board and a meeting will be set up to discuss it with the individuals involved. Mr. Spitler will set up the meeting.

WINFINDALE PROPERTY

Discussed under the Public Works report.

BUILDING RENTAL RATES

No report

WAIVERS FOR DEANN MOBILE HOME PARK

This will be discussed at the July 1st meeting.

GIS PRESENTATION – LOU SCOTT – LTL CONSULTANTS

A PowerPoint presentation on Geographic Information Systems was presented by Leo Scott of LTL Consultants. The presentation was to inform the Board about GIS, what its capabilities are, and its possible use for the Township.

- GIS is a computer system designed to manage, collect, store, and edit data. It also can analyze data to solve problems, display it in the form of maps, tables, etc., and can also be put onto a website so the public can view the results.
- GIS organizes large quantities of information. Items such as parcels, roads, signs, bridges, sewer lines, etc can be put into the system and it can be organized and accessed by a computer. It uses models for predicting how future problems such as floods would affect the community.
- GIS can be as large or small as the Township wants it. Lehigh County Authority had a \$3,000,000.00 system that covered half the county's water and wastewater systems and smaller municipalities have a \$3,000.00 to \$6,000.00 per year systems that cover their issues. The cost depends on what kind of tasks the Township wants to get involved in.
- Mr. Scott discussed GIS layers. The first map showed an aerial photo of the Township which showed buildings and roads. You can add onto that photo the parcel map from the County which now shows the parcels on the photo. Street center lines can also be added in addition to the length of the roads. Topographic information can also be added. Building code and street maintenance data can also be tied in with the maps. Flood plain information can be added to help the Township manage building in those areas. Finally an infrastructure layer can be added with the manholes and sewer mains. The layers all come together to help the Township solve the problems it may have.
- Mr. Scott noted LTL has just begun working with the Township as far as GIS is concerned. Zoning Map updates and improvements have been made.
- Mr. Ulrich noted one of the things the Township is looking to do is as a project is going through the approval process, the files are turned over to the Township so it easier for the Township to update the information for the GIS. This would include information such as stormwater, sewer, culvert, bridges, grading, etc.
- Mr. Scott commented the second project LTL would be involved with is the updating of the Act 537 Plan. The first part of the 537 requires a map of the existing sewer infrastructure. He noted the paper maps of the Township were put into the GIS program and showed a slide with the sewer infrastructure placed over the aerial view of the Township. If there is an inspector in the field, the GIS information can be taken with him vs. taking the paper maps. The information can be imported to a laptop, cell phone, etc. The Act 537 plan requires many maps and analysis and the GIS program can provide that information to see what the needs are for the Township and its plans for the future. This can also be applied to the Comprehensive Plan.
- There was a sewer needs survey sent out to residents and that information has been imported into the GIS program. A map has been generated showing problem areas, holding tanks, etc. Well water samples have also been imported into the program along with soils information. The GIS can be used to help create the solutions for the problems by calculating the distances of the sewer

lines, who is going to be affected and how large the parcel is and if a lateral can be put in. Regarding septic management programs, the GIS can be used as a scheduling device to identify the properties that have met the pumping requirements or those that need to be revisited.

- The GIS can be used for community planning, infrastructure management, environmental protection and emergency management. It can help to track stormwater problems/management, road problems/management, flood plain management, emergency management, etc.
- Mr. Scott noted GIS is the core of 911 address management which Fire Departments and Police Departments use.
- GIS is a system that puts data together to help a municipality to guide its planning. GIS can be developed into what the Township needs. With the update of the 537 Plan, this information can be piggybacked into an update of the Comprehensive Plan, zoning and subdivision/land development updates.
- Mr. Ulrich noted GIS can be as much or as little as the Township wants. Any information that is put in to build up the database is not wasted information. Data has been supplied to the Township by the County such as parcel boundaries, road centerlines, aerial photography, etc. Any GIS efforts that are associated with the Act 537 Plan update are 50% reimbursable to the Township. Mr. Ulrich discussed the benefits of GIS for roads, signs, wastewater, Codes enforcement, etc.
- Mr. Spitler asked if the system is centralized and how it works. Mr. Scott noted it would depend on what the Township wants. LTL is acting as a consultant to the Township and the software is with LTL. The Township could opt to install the software on a computer at the municipal building. There are issues of training. LTL can provide the Township with viewing software and LTL could create the layers and enter the data. The Township would not be able to do the analysis with the more expensive software. Mr. Ulrich noted the GIS information is the property of the Township. LTL is just managing the data for the Township. If the Township is interested, a terminal could be set up so that staff could access the information. LTL could update the system or it could be fully operational that Township staff could be trained and update it themselves.
- Mr. Spitler asked if the software could be used for modeling township development. It was noted it could. Mr. Ulrich commented the information being entered for the 537 Plan could be used for an update of the Comprehensive Plan and Zoning. Road maintenance could be looked at from a 5 to 10 year plan. Just starting out, the history of the roads would need to be entered and then that information could be used to develop a future plan for the roads. This could be used for budgeting.
- Mr. Spitler asked if the program could identify projects that might be eligible for grants. Mr. Ulrich noted it would take more input from LTL. The software is not going to know what is eligible for grants; however it would help knowing what type of road needs repaired and that would indicate what types of grants are available.
- Mr. Marshall noted if the Township starts with the program, there is a level of startup that needs to be identified to make it practical for the Township. He

asked what level the Township would need to be at to startup the program and what the cost would be.

- Mr. Ulrich noted some of the information has already been obtained from the County at no cost. It might be useful to have contact with the individuals that may use the system to determine what is needed. The Township has expressed interest in updating the Comprehensive Plan. If that is a high priority for the Township, GIS could help with the update and the cost would be estimated. The cost is difficult to estimate until the Township's priorities are known.
- Mr. Marshall felt as the Township's engineer, LTL should have an idea of where the Township should be starting at. What he is looking for is a guideline as to where the Township should be starting at and a cost so the Board can make a decision. Mr. Ulrich commented LTL could look at what may be required and inform the Board of what might be useful and the cost. The Board would need to decide what it wants. Mr. Marshall felt the Township should, at the minimum, be set up to view and use the data and not necessarily go through the training to maintain it at this time. He would like to see what LTL thinks would be helpful to the Township and that way, the Board and Township personnel could evaluate the issues to see if it would be of benefit. Mr. Ulrich noted the information would be provided.
- Andy Stein asked at what point data usage and input does it become cost effective. Mr. Scott noted once a structure is set as to how the data is entered it is just a question of data entry and someone telling the program to remap the information. Mr. Stein commented the Township just redid the Stormwater Ordinance. Would GIS be able answer questions regarding the changes in the ordinance. Mr. Scott noted it could model the effects of the requirements of the ordinance for development.
- Mr. Stein asked if a grant was found and LTL was given the parameters, would the program be able to query the road and provide specifications. Mr. Ulrich noted the information could be provided.
- Discussion continued on the GIS system.
- Mr. Ulrich noted GIS has the capability, to some degree, of turning the Township over to paperless. Mr. Marshall did not feel this would be something the Township would want to go into at the beginning, and could be acquired over a period of time.
- Mr. Stein noted when LTL is providing recommendations to the Board; it should also consider something that would help the volunteers and residents to visualize some of the issues.
- Mr. Ulrich noted if there was a Conditional Use or Zoning Change that came before the Township, LTL could provide an arrival shot of the location of the property so that a better look could be taken of the property and the impacts. A developer may not give the best angle of the impacts.
- Mirek Kavorik commented he has been using GIS from its beginning and would like the Township to adopt the GIS program. He also believes that Township personnel and the Board need to have a certain level of training to use the program. He noted there is an issue with contamination in the area of Funk's Supermarket regarding 1,000 gallons of gasoline going into the ground. DEP

has not addressed the issue. No one has done an inventory of the wells in the area to see if they are contaminated. GIS could help by providing an inventory of the wells in the area and the residents could be warned of the possible pollution. Mr. Kavorik commented on flooding issues GIS could help with and also the layering of GIS maps and the possible benefits to the Township. He noted a professional would be required to put the information into the GIS system. The Township has to decide which layers of information it wants.

- Chuck Mundy of Tannunbaum Way asked if LTL enters and manages the data into GIS. Mr. Scott noted that was correct at this time. Mr. Mundy asked what fees were involved with the data entry. It was noted the fees are hourly. Mr. Mundy asked if some of the information was furnished by Dauphin County. It was noted he was correct and the County has GIS. Mr. Ulrich commented the County has GIS but it might not be exclusively pertinent to East Hanover Township. Residents can access the County website for the GIS information it has. Municipal boundaries, aerial photos, etc. have been provided from the County, but it does not have what the Township needs, which is the road conditions, sewer lines, etc. Mr. Mundy asked if citizens could access the GIS system. Mr. Ulrich noted it would be up to the Board to decide if it would want to make available a terminal for the public to use.
- John Nelligan commented Emergency Management in East Hanover Township has been using GIS and CAD from the County for the past 10 years. The flood plains are available and the addresses associated with it. All the commercials and industrials in the Township are also available. Mr. Nelligan further explained his access to the County's website and what information he can obtained.
- Mirek Kavorik felt if the Township would decide to go with GIS, it should make the information available to the residents.

CHANGES TO SALDO – LTL CONSULTANTS

The Engineer is working on the changes

GYPSY MOTH SPRAYING

Mrs. Casey reported she spoke with representatives from DCCD and DCNR (the Department of Conservation and Natural Resources) and was informed the insecticide used for the spraying is not affected by rain. DCNR is the organization that does the spraying. There are reasons why an entire is not sprayed; there are buffer areas around homes, if there are not enough types of trees that the moths like, those areas are not sprayed.

- Mrs. Casey noted DCNR has an excellent website on Gypsy Moths. There is a link to it on the Township's website. The DCNR website is www.dcnr.state.pa.us. Once you are to the website, click on Forestry on the left menu and look for the Gypsy Moth 2008 Suppression Program.

RVG – ZONING CHANGE REQUEST

The Public Hearing is scheduled for August 5th.

SLUDGE DISPOSAL – EAST BRUNSWICK TOWNSHIP

Chairman Beaudet noted East Brunswick Township is requesting that municipalities pass a sludge disposal resolution. Mr. Epstein and Solicitor Wyland were to look at the resolution.

- Mr. Marshall commented years ago, research was done regarding spreading sludge on fields. It was found out that most of the local regulations were non-enforceable. He felt it would be pointless to adopt a regulation that is non-enforceable. He would like an opinion from the Solicitor on the enforcement of the regulation. He noted some Townships have adopted ordinances against the applying of sludge and it has been enough to discourage the application, even though it might not be enforceable.
- Solicitor Lovette commented she will forward the information to Solicitor Wyland and noted there are DEP regulations regarding the application of sludge. Mr. Marshall commented DEP does not always enforce its regulations. He felt the Township might be able to create an ordinance that would mimic DEP but not exceed its requirements.

NEW BUSINESS

MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE/RECYCLE AGREEMENT

Mrs. Casey reported that Solicitor Wyland has reviewed the agreement, but has requested the Board approve the agreement contingent upon a final review by the Solicitor. The agreement is between the Township and Penn Waste for the removal of solid waste and recycles. The new contract will begin July 2nd.

- **Mr. Marshall made a motion to approve the waste/recycle agreement with Penn Waste contingent upon the final review of Solicitor Wyland. Mr. Spitler seconded the motion. The Chairman asked for public comment, there was none. The motion was approved 4 in favor, 0 opposed.**

POSTAGE METER

Mrs. Casey reported the Township's postage meter will become obsolete in the near future. She has checked other companies and has found the current vendor, Hasler, is comparable with its costs which are \$60.31 per month to lease the equipment. This includes updates for postage changes. The meter is comparable to the existing meter the Township has. The current meter is being deemed obsolete by the U.S. Postal Service. The meter will be leased from Hasler at one year intervals.

- **Mr. Spitler made a motion to lease a new postage machine with Hasler. Mr. Shutt seconded the motion. The Chairman asked for public comment, there was none. The motion was approved 4 in favor, 0 opposed.**

DAUPHIN COUNTY CONSERVATION DISTRICT MUNICIPAL JOINT WORK GROUP

Mrs. Casey reported she attended a meeting on June 12th which was presented by DCCD. DEP is in the process of making changes to Act 102 which applies to Erosion and Sedimentation controls and Stormwater Management. DCCD will be receiving a draft of the changes and would like to work with the municipalities to review the draft. DCCD would like public officials, Township staff and the Township Engineer to be

involved in the meetings so that joint comments can be provided to DEP vs. just DCCD comments. She did not know how much interest other municipalities will have in the workshop.

- Chairman Beaudet suggested this be discussed at the June 30th workshop.
- Mirek Kavorik noted he attended the first workshop and felt the proposed meetings are in response to the Township's request that the Townships get more involved.

MUKESH PATEL – SEWER

Mr. Spittler asked if the engineer had contacted the individual regarding the parcel off of Faith Church Rd.

- Mr. Ulrich noted he met with the individuals prior to the Board meeting. The questions related to the availability of sewer and driveway access points. Mr. Ulrich answered the individuals' questions and was provided with the sketch pan. He will get back to the individuals and the Township will provide a form letter regarding the request for EDU's. Since the driveway access is onto a State road, they will have to contact PennDOT.

SWATARA CREEK NAME CHANGE

Chairman Beaudet noted she was not willing to act on the name change until it is reviewed by the Solicitor. The change is to rename the Swatara Creek to the Swatara River.

- Solicitor Lovette asked why the request was being made.
- Mr. Marshall commented the size of the body of water puts it into a river size. It was noted the request is being made by the Swatara Watershed Association.
- Mirek Kavorik noted if the name is changed then hydrologically it will be subject to certain criteria. The association is trying to protect the creek.

OTHER BUSINESS FROM THE BOARD

There was no further business from the Board.

BUSINESS FROM THE PUBLIC

John Nelligan commented that on Thursday, June 26th at 12:15 PM the TMI sirens will sound for 3 minutes solid. This is just a test,

David Boyd noted Early Mill Rd. and Laudermilch Rd. (Rt. 743) had a major problem when finishing off the road. There was quite a bit of swale left on both sides. He contacted Handwerk to finish up the intersection at the end of May. He did not get any response so he contacted PennDOT at the beginning of June and Handwerk was out labeling the road and started to get the work going. At the intersection, the Caution sign was removed. He contacted PennDOT again and it said it would check into the safety aspect of the intersection, why the signs were taken down and what it is going to do. Nothing was done so Mr. Boyd contacted PennDOT again. The end result is there are curves on the end of Rt. 743 that have signs to slow down. There is no warning at the intersection of Early Mill and Rt. 743. This is a dangerous intersection and there have been numerous accidents there. Mr. Mallen said he would be willing to look into this as

soon as all the construction activity is done on the road surface. If that takes place, a letter from Mr. Longreen and possibly Mr. Nelligan to PennDOT requesting a safety study needs to be sent. He mentioned to PennDOT the Township is in the process of buying the property to make the sight distance better.

George Rish asked what the topics are for the workshop. Mrs. Casey noted a mid-year budget review, consultants contracts will be reviewed, the Needs Assessment will be discussed and the DCCD/Municipal work meetings. Mr. Rish asked when the public will be able to review the Needs Assessment. Mr. Stein commented it is only in draft form and EFMR wanted Board comment before being released to the public. The Needs Assessment is not something that needs to be voted on. There are actions within it that need to be voted on. Once Board comments are received, it will be released to the public.

Mirek Kavorik discussed the capacity of the sewer plant and explained that capacity relates to what type of liquid is coming to the plant.

Mr. Spitler made a motion to adjourn the meeting. The meeting was adjourned at 9:30 PM.

Respectfully submitted,

Deborah A. Casey

cc:	Marie Beaudet	Charles Longreen
	David Marshall	Planning Commission
	Keith Espenshade	Light-Heigel & Associates
	Larry Spitler	Posted
	Thomas Shutt	Zoning Hearing Board
	Hawke, McKeon & Sniscak	LTL Consultants
	Curt Cassel	